

SECTION-A

12) List Model - Consider n elements e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n that are initially arranged in some ordered list. At each unit of time a request is made for one of n elements. e_i being requested independently of the part of probability p_i . After being requested the element is then moved to the front of the list. For instance if the present ordering is e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 & e_3 is requested then the next ordering is e_3, e_1, e_2, e_4 . To compute the expected position of the element requested we start by conditioning on which element is selected.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{This yields } E[\text{Position of element requested}] &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[\text{Position} | e_i \text{ is selected}] p_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[\text{Position of } e_i | e_i \text{ is selected}] p_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[\text{Position of } e_i] p_i \end{aligned}$$

where the final equality used that the position of e_i & the event that e_i is selected are independent because, regardless of its position e_i is selected with probability p_i .

Now position of $e_i = 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} I_j$ where $I_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_j \text{ precedes } e_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\text{Position of } e_i = 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} I_j \Rightarrow E[\text{Position of } e_i] = 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} E[I_j]$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} P(e_j \text{ precedes } e_i) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

We observe that e_j will precede e_i if the most recent request of either of them is e_j . But given that a request is for either e_i or e_j

The probability that it is for e_j is $P[e_j | e_i \text{ or } e_j] = p_j / (p_i + p_j)$

$$\Rightarrow P[e_j \text{ precedes } e_i] = p_j / (p_i + p_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow E[\text{Position of } e_i] = 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} p_j / (p_i + p_j) \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

from (1) & (3) $E[\text{Position of element requested}] = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \cdot \sum_{j \neq i} p_j / (p_i + p_j)$

3) Uniqueness theorem

Statement - The 2 distribution $f^n F(x) = \{F_1(x), F_2(x)\}$ are identical iff their characteristic $f^n \phi_1(t) \& \phi_2(t)$ are identical.

Proof - Let $F_1(x) \& F_2(x)$ be the 2 distribution function corresponding to a given characteristic $f^n \phi_t$ then from the inversion theorem for any $a < b$ we have $F_1(b) - F_2(b) = F_1(a) - F_2(a)$ at all the common points of continuity of $F_1 \& F_2$.

From the inversion theorem $F_2(b) - F_2(a) = F_1(b) - F_1(a) = c$
 $F_1(b) - F_2(b) = F_1(a) - F_2(a) = c$.

Let us allow b to be vary for a fixed a & wkt $F_1(\infty) = 1, F_2(\infty) = F_1(\infty) - F_2(\infty) = 0$ then we have $F_1(b) - F_2(b) = F_1(a) - F_2(a) = c$

as $b \rightarrow \infty$

$$F_1(a) - F_2(a) = 0 \Rightarrow F_1(a) = F_2(a)$$

At all the continuity point of $F_1 \& F_2, F_1 = F_2$

F is uniquely determined by $\phi_x(t)$

Uses for uniqueness theorem

- 1) It is used in Poisson's equation
- 2) It is used in division theorem
- 3) It is used in Cauchy-Kowalevski theorem
- 4) It is used for fundamental theorem of arithmetic
- 5) It is used in Holmgren's uniqueness theorem.

7) Kolmogorov's Inequality

Statement - If $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of iid integrable random variable with common mean μ which is less than ∞ then the $\{X_n\}$ obeys the SLLN. $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n X_k}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Applications

1) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample drawn from population with the distribution $f(x)$ where $x \in R$ then the empirical function defined as $G_n(x) = \left(\frac{\text{no. of observations } \leq x}{n} \right) \Rightarrow G_n(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} F(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Proof - let us define $Y_k = \begin{cases} 1; & \text{if } X_k \leq x \\ 0; & \text{if } X_k > x \end{cases}$ where $k=1, 2, \dots, n$

Y_k takes only 2 values either 0 or 1. Y_k are iid Bernoulli RV & the

$$P(Y_k=1) = P(X_k \leq x) = F_k(x)$$

$$P(Y_k=0) = P(X_k > x) = 1 - F_k(x) \quad \forall k=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$\{Y_k, n \geq 1\}$ obeys SLLN from Bernoulli SLLN

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} E\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k}{n}\right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} E\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k}{n}\right)$$

$\sum Y_k$ represents how many X_k 's $\leq x$

If $Y_k = 1 \Rightarrow 1 Y_k$'s $\leq x$ $\therefore \frac{\sum Y_k}{n}$ is nothing but $G_n(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} E\left(\frac{\sum Y_k}{n}\right) = E\left(\frac{\sum Y_k}{n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence the sequence of empirical distribution converges to the distribution function of a real valued RV at almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

8) Liapunov's form of CLT

Statement - let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \dots (\infty)$ $\{x_n, n \geq 1\}$ be the sequence of independent random variable then a positive no δ can be found such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ $\frac{1}{B_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^n E(|X_k - \mu_k|^{2+\delta}) = \frac{V_{2+\delta}}{(B_n)^{2+\delta}} \rightarrow 0$

then $\{x_n\}$ satisfy CLT.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left[\frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{B_n} \leq x\right] \rightarrow F_Z(x) \sim N(0,1)$$

Demoviere-Laplace CLT

Statement - let $\{x_n, n \geq 1\}$ be the sequence of independent random variable with the $P(X_k=1)=p$, $P(X_k=0)=1-p$ the cumulative sum of square i.e. $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ is asymptotically

Normal $\frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{SD(S_n)} \xrightarrow{L} N(0,1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

SECTION-B

10) i) If X_1 & X_2 are independent Poisson random variable with λ_1 & λ_2 then find $E[X_1 | X_1 + X_2 = n]$

Proof - Consider $P(X_1 = k | X_1 + X_2 = n)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{P(X_1 = k, X_1 + X_2 = n)}{P(X_1 + X_2 = n)} \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = k, X_2 = n - k)}{P(X_1 + X_2 = n)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_1^k}{k!} \cdot e^{-\lambda_2} \frac{\lambda_2^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}}{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^n}{n!}} \\ &= \frac{n!}{k! (n-k)!} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right)^k \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right)^{n-k} \\ &= {}^n C_k \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right)^k \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right)^{n-k}; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

which is binomial pmf with $p = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$.

Hence $E[X_1 | X_1 + X_2 = n] = n \cdot \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$

ii) ST $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) = E(N) E(X)$.

Proof - consider $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) = E\left[E\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i | N\right)\right]$

$$= E\left[E\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i | N = n\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i)\right]$$

$$= E[n \cdot E(X)] \quad (\because X_i \& n_i \text{ are independent})$$

15) Khinchin's WLLN

Statement - Let $\{x_n; n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of iid rvs. let $x_n = \frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{n}$ with CF $\phi_{x_n}(t)$ & with common mean $\mu < \infty$ then $x_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Proof - Given $x_n = \frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{n}$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)}{n}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n [E(x_i)]}{n}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu}{n}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) / n$$

Consider $\phi_{x_n}(t) = E(e^{itx_n})$

$$= E\left(e^{it \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)}{n}\right)}\right)$$

$$= E\left[\prod_{i=1}^n e^{i\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)(x_i - \mu)}\right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n E\left(e^{i\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)(x_i - \mu)}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_{(x_i - \mu)}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)$$

$$= \left[\phi_{(x_i - \mu)}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right]^n \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$= \left[E(x_i - \mu)^0 + i\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) E(x_i - \mu)^1 + \dots\right]^n$$

$$= \left[1 + \frac{it}{n} E(x_i - \mu) + O\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) + \dots\right]^n$$

$$\left[\because E(e^{itx}) = \mu^0 + \frac{it}{1!} \mu^1 + \dots\right] \quad \left[\because \mu = E(x - \mu)\right]$$

Applying log on both sides

$$\log \phi_{Xn}(t) = n \log \left(1 + \frac{it}{n} E(X_i - \mu) + o(t/n) \right)$$

$$\left[\because \log(1-x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \dots \right]$$

$$= n \left[\frac{it}{n} E(X_i - \mu) + o(t/n) - \left[\frac{\frac{it}{n} E(X_i - \mu) + o(t/n)}{2} \right]^2 \dots \right]$$

$$= n \left[\frac{it}{n} [E(X_i - \mu) + o(t/n)] \right]$$

$$= it(\mu - \mu) + o(t/n)$$

$$= o(t/n) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \phi_{Xn}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} o(t/n) = 0$$

$$\log \phi_{Xn}(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\phi_{Xn}(t) \rightarrow e^0 = 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\phi_{Xn}(t) \rightarrow \phi_X(t)$$

By using Levy's continuity theorem

$$\Rightarrow F_{Xn}(t) \rightarrow F_X(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 : \forall n \xrightarrow{P} X = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{n} \xrightarrow{P} X = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} E\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) \text{ which is WLLN.}$$

9) Properties of Riemann-Stieltjes integral

1) If $f(x) \in R(\alpha)$ & $g(x) \in R(\alpha)$ on $[a, b]$ then $c_1 f + c_2 g \in R(\alpha)$

where c_1 & c_2 are constant i.e. $\int_a^b [c_1 f(x) + c_2 g(x)] d\alpha(x) = c_1 \int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x) + c_2 \int_a^b g(x) d\alpha(x)$.

Proof - let $h = c_1 f + c_2 g$.

Given a partition P of $[a, b]$.

We can represent $S(P, h, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n h(t_k) \Delta x_k$.

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n (c_1 f(t_k) + c_2 g(t_k)) \Delta x_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n c_1 f(t_k) \Delta x_k + \sum_{k=1}^n c_2 g(t_k) \Delta x_k$$

$$= c_1 \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \Delta x_k + c_2 \sum_{k=1}^n g(t_k) \Delta x_k$$

$$= c_1 S(P, f, \alpha) + c_2 S(P, g, \alpha)$$

Since $f, g \in R(\alpha)$ on $[a, b]$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $P'_\epsilon \ni P'_\epsilon \subseteq P$.

$$\Rightarrow |S(P, f, \alpha) - \int_a^b f d\alpha| < \frac{\epsilon}{2|c_1|}$$

Similarly choose $P''_\epsilon \ni P''_\epsilon \subseteq P$.

$$\Rightarrow |S(P, g, \alpha) - \int_a^b g d\alpha| < \frac{\epsilon}{2|c_2|}$$

Let $P_\epsilon = P'_\epsilon \cup P''_\epsilon$ then P_ϵ is a finer than P .

$$\text{We have } |S(P, h, \alpha) - \int_a^b f d\alpha - \int_a^b g d\alpha| \leq |c_1| \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2|c_1|} + |c_2| \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2|c_2|}$$

$$|S(P, h, \alpha) - \int_a^b f d\alpha - \int_a^b g d\alpha| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \epsilon$$

$$\therefore \int_a^b (c_1 f + c_2 g) d\alpha = c_1 \int_a^b f d\alpha + c_2 \int_a^b g d\alpha$$

2) If $f \in R(\alpha)$, $g \in R(\beta)$ on $[a, b]$ then $f \in R(c_1 \alpha + c_2 \beta)$ on $[a, b]$ for any constant c_1, c_2 we have $\int_a^b f d(c_1 \alpha + c_2 \beta) = c_1 \int_a^b f d\alpha + c_2 \int_a^b f d\beta$.

Proof - let $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the partition on a, b .

$$\text{let } \gamma = c_1 \alpha + c_2 \beta$$

$$\Delta \gamma_k = \gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1})$$

$$= c_1 \alpha(x_k) - c_2 \beta(x_k) - c_1 \alpha(x_{k-1}) - c_2 \beta(x_{k-1})$$

$$= c_1 (\alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})) + c_2 (\beta(x_k) - \beta(x_{k-1}))$$

$$= c_1 \Delta \alpha_k + c_2 \Delta \beta_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(P, f, \alpha) &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \Delta x_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) (c_1 \Delta x_k + c_2 \Delta \beta_k) \\
&= c_1 \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \Delta x_k + c_2 \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \Delta \beta_k \\
&= c_1 S(P, f, \alpha) + c_2 S(P, f, \beta)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in R(\alpha)$, $f \in R(\beta)$ on $[a, b]$ for a given $\epsilon > 0 \exists$ a partition P_ϵ

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\epsilon \Rightarrow P \subset P_\epsilon \quad \epsilon \left| S(P, f, \alpha) - \int_a^b f dx \right| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2|c_1|} \\
\left| S(P, f, \beta) - \int_a^b f dx \right| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2|c_2|}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Consider } \left| S(P, f, \alpha) - c_1 \int_a^b f dx - c_2 \int_a^b f d\beta \right| &\leq |c_1| \left| S(P, f, \alpha) - \int_a^b f dx \right| + \\
&\quad |c_2| \left| S(P, f, \beta) - \int_a^b f d\beta \right| \\
&\leq |c_1| \frac{\epsilon}{2|c_1|} + |c_2| \frac{\epsilon}{2|c_2|} \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Rightarrow \leq \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

3) ST $\int_a^c f dx + \int_c^b f dx = \int_a^b f dx$. Where $c \in [a, b]$.

Proof - let us assume that $\int_a^c f dx$ & $\int_c^b f dx$ exists then we require to PT $\int_a^b f dx$ also exists.

$$\int_a^c f dx + \int_c^b f dx = \int_a^b f dx \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

let P be a partition on $[a, b] \Rightarrow c \in P$

let $P_1 = P \cap [a, c]$ & $P_2 = P \cap [c, b]$ then P_1 & P_2 are the partition of a, c & c, b respectively.

$$S(P, f, \alpha) = S(P_1, f, \alpha) + S(P_2, f, \alpha)$$

∴

$\Rightarrow \int_a^c f dx$ exists for every $\epsilon > 0 \exists$ a partition P_ϵ^I of $[a, c] \Rightarrow$

$$|S(P_1, f, \alpha) - \int_a^c f dx| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Similarly $\int_c^b f dx$ exists for every $\epsilon > 0 \exists$ a partition P_ϵ^{II} of $[c, b] \Rightarrow$

$$|S(P_2, f, \alpha) - \int_c^b f dx| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Let $P_\epsilon = P_\epsilon^I \cup P_\epsilon^{II}$ then P_ϵ is a partition on $[a, b]$

Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ & $P_\epsilon^I \subseteq P_1$ & $P_\epsilon^{II} \subseteq P_2$ & P is a finer partition of P_ϵ .

Consider $|S(P, f, \alpha) - \int_a^c f dx - \int_c^b f dx|$

$$= |S(P_1, f, \alpha) + S(P_2, f, \alpha) - \int_a^c f dx - \int_c^b f dx|$$

$$\leq |S(P_1, f, \alpha) - \int_a^c f dx| + |S(P_2, f, \alpha) - \int_c^b f dx|$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Rightarrow \leq \epsilon$$

Applications of Probability theory

In probability theory the basic setup is a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Here Ω is the outcome space is the set of all possible outcomes for the random experiment we want to model. The set \mathcal{F} is a collection of subsets of Ω , satisfying the 3 following conditions.

- 1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- 2) $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$
- 3) $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$

The function P is a probability measure defined on \mathcal{F} is a fn $P: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1] \Rightarrow$ 1) $P(\emptyset) = 0$ 2) $P(A^c) = 1 - P(A)$

$$3) P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) \text{ if } A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \text{ when } i \neq j$$

We suppose in ② that $A \in \mathcal{F}$ & in ③ that $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for every i for us to be able to apply P to the resulting sets, the corresponding conditions

② & ③ in the defⁿ of a σ algebra ensures that this is alright

$A \ni \forall X$ is a fn $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \{\omega: X(\omega) \leq x\} \in \mathcal{F}$. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ this condition is called measurability. $F(x) = P(\omega: X(\omega) \leq x)$ can be defined.