

Neymann factorization theorem

Let  $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a random sample with probability function  $f(\underline{x}, \theta)$  involving unknown parameter ' $\theta$ '. Then a statistic  $T(\underline{x})$  is said to be sufficient for  $\theta$  iff for every  $\theta \in \Omega$ .

$$f(\underline{x}, \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) \cdot h(\underline{x}).$$

where  $g$  is a non-negative function of  $T(\underline{x})$  and  $\theta$  and  $h$  is a non-ve fun. of  $\underline{x}$  alone free of  $\theta$ .

Proof:

Necessary condition

Suppose  $T(\underline{x})$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ , the conditional dist<sup>n</sup>.  $X$  given  $T(\underline{x}) = t$  is independent of  $\theta$  when the  $P(X=x) > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(\underline{x}, \theta) &= P[X=x, T(\underline{x})=t] \\ &= P[T(\underline{x})=t] \cdot P[X=x / T(\underline{x})=t] \\ &= P[T(\underline{x}), \theta] \cdot h(\underline{x}). \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\underline{x}$  for which  $P(X=x) > 0, \theta \in \Omega$

Sufficient condition:

Suppose  $f(\underline{x}, \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) \cdot h(\underline{x})$  holds and  $P[T(\underline{x})=t_0] > 0$  for fixed  $T(\underline{x})=t_0$  and every  $\theta \in \Omega$ .

By setting  $B_0 = \{\underline{x} : T(\underline{x}) = t_0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} P[T(\underline{x})=t_0] &= \sum_{\underline{x} \in B_0} P[X=\underline{x}] \\ &= \sum_{\underline{x} \in B_0} g(T(\underline{x}), \theta) \cdot h(\underline{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Further

$$P[X=x / T(x) = t_0] = \frac{P[X=x, T(x) = t_0]}{P[T(x) = t_0]}$$

$$= \begin{cases} h(x) & , \text{ if } T(x) = t_0 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } T(x) \neq t_0 \end{cases}$$

Thus if  $T(x) = t_0$  then

$$H(x) = \frac{g(t_0, \theta) \cdot h(x)}{g(t_0, \theta) \cdot \sum h(x)}$$

$$= \frac{h(x)}{\sum h(x)}$$

Cramer-Rao inequality

If  $T(x)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\psi(\theta)$ , a function of parameter  $\theta$  then the

$$\text{Var}(T(x)) \geq \frac{\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi(\theta) \right]^2}{E \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right]^2} = \frac{[\psi'(\theta)]^2}{I(\theta)}$$

Proof: Let  $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a random variable drawn from  $f(x, \theta)$  independently.

Let  $L(x, \theta)$  be the likelihood function of  $x$  using the regularity conditions. it is differentiable under integral sign.

$$\int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L(x, \theta) = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad E \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right) = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

we have  $T(x)$  be an unbiased estimator for  $\psi(\theta)$

Differentiating  $\psi(\theta)$  wrt  $\theta$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'(\theta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E[T(x)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int T(x) \cdot L(x, \theta) dx \\ &= \int T(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right) dx \\ &= E \left[ T(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right] \rightarrow \textcircled{2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cov} \left( T(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right) &= E \left( T(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right) - E(T(x)) \cdot E \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right) \\ &= \psi'(\theta) - 0 \quad (\text{from } \textcircled{1}) \\ &= \psi'(\theta) \rightarrow \textcircled{3}\end{aligned}$$

we have an inequality

$$\left[ \text{cov} \left( T(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right) \right]^2 \leq \text{var}(T(x)) \cdot \text{var} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(T(x)) &\geq \frac{\left[ \text{cov} \left( T(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right) \right]^2}{\text{var} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right)} \\ &\geq \frac{\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi(\theta) \right)^2}{E \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right]^2} = \frac{[\psi'(\theta)]^2}{I(\theta)}.\end{aligned}$$

### Regularity conditions (or) Applications

- ① The parameter space 'I' is non-degenerate open interval on real line  $(-\infty \text{ to } \infty)$
- ②  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L(x, \theta)$  exists for all  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\forall \theta \in I$
- ③ The range of integration is independent of parameter  $\theta$ , so that  $f(\theta, \theta)$  is differentiable under integral sign.  
i.e.  $\int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L(x, \theta) \cdot$

④ The conditions of uniform convergence of integrals are satisfied so that differentiation under integral sign is valid.

⑤  $I\theta = E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log L\right]^2$  exists and is positive.

Lehman-scheffé  
Rao-blackwell theorem

Let  $T(x)$  be a statistic which is complete and sufficient for  $\theta$  involved in  $f(x, \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega$ . if there exists at least one statistic  $U(x) \in \mathcal{U}_\psi$  then the

$\phi(T(x)) = E[U(x)/T(x)]$  is unique and uniformly MVUE for  $\psi(\theta)$ .

Proof: Assume that the

class  $\mathcal{U}_\psi$  is non-degenerate so that there exists

2 elements  $U_1(x)$  and  $U_2(x)$  in  $\mathcal{U}_\psi$

Since  $T(x)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ , by Rao-blackwell theorem.

$$\phi_j(T(x)) = E[U_j/T(x)] \in \mathcal{U}_\psi, \quad j=1,2$$

$$\text{Hence } E[\phi_1(T(x)) - \phi_2(T(x))] = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \Omega.$$

Since  $T(x)$  is complete sufficient statistic by def<sup>n</sup>. of completeness. There exists a function.

$$\eta(T(x)) = \phi_1(T(x)) - \phi_2(T(x)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E[\eta(T(x))] = 0, \quad \text{for any } \theta \in \Omega$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta(x) = 0 \quad \text{for each } T(x) = x$$

$$\text{i.e. } \phi_1(x) = \phi_2(x), \quad \text{for } x \in S^n$$

$$\text{Thus } U_1(x) = U_2(x) \in \mathcal{U}_\psi$$

$$\text{and } \phi(T(x)) = E[U(x)/T(x)] \text{ is}$$

unique uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator for  $\psi(\theta)$ .

## Rao-Blackwell theorem

Let  $T_n(x)$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\psi(\theta)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\psi$  be a non empty class of unbiased estimators for  $\psi(\theta)$ . So that  $U(x) \in \mathcal{U}_\psi$  and  $\phi(z) = E[U(x) / T_n(x) = z]$  is a function of only 'z' and independent of  $\theta$ . Then

- (i)  $\phi(T_n(x)) \in \mathcal{U}_\psi$
- (ii)  $\text{var}(\phi(T_n(x))) \leq \text{var}(U(x))$ ,  $\forall \theta \in \Omega$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}_\psi$ .

Proof:- Suppose that  $f(x, \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega$  admits a sufficient statistic  $T_n(x)$  for  $\psi(\theta)$ .

$\Rightarrow U(x) \in \mathcal{U}_\psi$ . since  $T_n(x)$  is sufficient.

Given  $\phi(z) = E[U(x) / T_n(x) = z]$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\phi(z)] &= E[E[U(x) / T_n(x) = z]] \\ &= E[U(x)] \\ &= \psi(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

which establishes the part 1 of the theorem.

In order to establish the part 2

$$\begin{aligned} \text{consider } \text{var}(U(x)) &= E[U(x) - E(U(x))]^2 \\ &= E[U(x) - \psi(\theta)]^2 \\ &= E[U(x) - \phi(z) + \phi(z) - \psi(\theta)]^2 \\ &= E[U(x) - \phi(z)]^2 + E[\phi(z) - \psi(\theta)]^2 - 2E[U(x) - \phi(z)] \\ &\quad \cdot [\phi(z) - \psi(\theta)] \\ &= E[U(x) - \phi(z)]^2 + E[\phi(z) - \psi(\theta)]^2 \\ &= E[U(x) - \phi(z)]^2 + E[\phi(z) - E(\phi(z))]^2 \\ &= E[U(x) - \phi(z)]^2 + \text{var}(\phi(z)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{var}(U(x)) \geq \text{var}(\phi(z)).$$

Hence proved

## Fisher's Information on $\theta$

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with the random sample observed on the r.v.'s with pdf  $f(x, \theta)$ . Let  $f(x, \theta)$  be differentiable wrt  $\theta$  over measurable set  $C$ . The Fisher's information measured on  $\theta$  contained in the r.v. 'x' is defined as

$$I(\theta) = E \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x, \theta) \right]^2$$

$$= \text{var} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x, \theta) \right)$$

$$\left( \because E \left[ \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta} \right] = 0 \right)$$

## Sufficiency of an estimator

A statistic  $T(x)$  is said to be sufficient for  $\theta$ .

(or) the family of distributions  $\{F_\theta; \theta \in \Omega\}$  iff the conditional distribution of  $x$  given  $T(x)=t$  is independent of  $\theta$ .

$$f(x, \theta) = g(T(x), \theta) h(x).$$

Note:

- ① The sample observations themselves are always sufficient
- ② every statistic is not sufficient